

College of Kinesiologists of Ontario Executive Committee Meeting Summary	
<b>Date/Time of Meeting</b>	Tuesday, October 26 <sup>th</sup> , 2021 6 – 7:00 pm – Teleconference
<b>Chair</b>	Jennifer Pereira
<b>Timekeeper</b>	Jennifer Pereira
<b>Note taker</b>	Colleen Foster
<b>Present</b>	Jennifer Pereira (JP), Mary Pat Moore(MPM), Ben Matthie, Victoria Nicholson(VN), Susan Garfat(SG)
<b>Regrets</b>	None
<b>Staff in Attendance</b>	Colleen Foster and Nancy Leris
<b>Conflict of Interest Declaration</b>	Susan Garfat declared a potential conflict of interest with the agenda as a registered Athletic Therapist with the Ontario Athletic Therapist Association of Ontario. The Executive Committee decided that SG should recuse from the meeting at 6:05 p.m.
<b>OATA Letter</b>	<p>The Chair of the disbanded Specialty Committee addressed the concerns in OATA's letter and provided the reasons for the decision of the Specialty Committee as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The Specialties Committee decided not to recommend the policy to Council for the same reason that was outlined in the decision of the Committee, such as not satisfying the minimum educational requirements. There is a fundamental legal barrier that OATA did not address in their letter.</li> <li>○ If a person does not have degree in Kinesiology or a substantially equivalent degree, then they cannot become a Registered Kinesiologist.</li> <li>○ The Committee recommended that there should not be a Specialty Class because it is not feasible to create one legally, and adding a Specialty Class does not advance protection for the public.</li> <li>○ It was difficult to obtain evidence from OATA regarding risk of harm. The risk of harm documents presented by OATA did not provide substantial reasoning.</li> </ul> <p>After much deliberation on the matter, the Executive Committee decided to submit the letter to Council for discussion and that the decision not be reversed. Council trusts the decision of the Speciality Committee.</p> <p>The Executive Committee upheld the decision taken during the September 27 Council meeting, which was based on the recommendation of the Specialties Committee. The Executive Committee found the decision impartial, as it was grounded on legal, educational and risk-of-harm factors.</p> <p><b>Upon a motion made by VN and seconded by BM it was approved that:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>The OATA's letter in response to the Specialty Class decision be provided to Council along with a response to OATA.</b></li> <li>○ <b>To Inform OATA that the Executive Committee met on October 26 and reviewed points brought to the committee's attention and whereas</b></li> </ul>

	<p>the College appreciates the effort by OATA in trying to create a Specialty Class, the legal requirements which are fundamental were not considered. The committee agreed that the College proceeded with fairness when making its decision. <b>Carried.</b></p>
<b>Action Items</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Council members to receive the Executive committee report/minutes, response to OATA and to include the OATA Letter in the Council package for the December 6 Council meeting.</li> <li>○ Jennifer Pereira will facilitate a discussion regarding the Specialties Class, not a change in the decision.</li> <li>○ A letter will be forwarded to OATA to inform them of the Executive Committee's decision to uphold Council's decision, based on the recommendation of the Specialties committee to not have a Specialty Class for ATs.</li> </ul>
<b>Adjournment</b>	<p>It was moved by Mary Pat Moore to adjourn the meeting at 6:50 pm.</p>